**In situ** realization of particlelike scattering states in a microwave cavity
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We realize scattering states in a lossy and chaotic two-dimensional microwave cavity which follow bundles of classical particle trajectories. To generate such particlelike scattering states, we measure the system’s complex transmission matrix and apply an adapted Wigner-Smith time-delay formalism to it. The necessary shaping of the incident wave is achieved in situ using phase- and amplitude-regulated microwave antennas. Our experimental findings pave the way for establishing spatially confined communication channels that avoid possible intruders or obstacles in wave-based communication systems.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.97.021801

**Introduction.** The propagation of waves in complex media is widely studied in physics [1]. To probe the scattering properties of a medium, one typically uses well-defined incident waves and measures their spatial profile at the output. However, complex the scattering process may be, the output stays deterministically related to the input such that any change in the input parameters can be directly related to changes in the output pattern. This deterministic relation is encapsulated in a system’s scattering matrix [2] whose massive information content is exploited through wavefront shaping [3–5]. The basic idea in this emerging field is to manipulate the incident waves in such a way that a certain output is achieved. This concept was pushed forward due to the possibility of sufficient input control. Spatial light modulators in optics [6–9], IQ modulators or spatial microwave modulators in the microwave field [10–12], and transducers in acoustics [13] offer the possibility to use this concept in a large variety of physical disciplines.

Early goals were the development of new schemes for the focusing or defocusing of waves and the compression of pulses [3,14–17] behind a disordered slab. Special wave patterns can also be achieved within such a medium like for states that are spatially focused on an embedded target [18–20]. In multimode fibers also so-called principal modes were recently generated that are focused in time both at the input and the output facet of the fiber [21–23]. States with the unique feature of remaining focused both in space and time during the entire propagation through a complex medium are the so-called particlelike scattering states (PSSs) [24]. Their spatial collimation makes sure that they are both very robust and tap-proof against perturbations or eavesdropping in those regions that they do not explore. Additionally, the facts that PSSs are fully transmitted even across complex scattering structures and that they remain focused when reaching a desired receiver guarantee that all the information they carry ends up securely where it should. Finally, the association of PSSs with the smallest possible time delays assures that the information transfer is as fast as possible and unwanted dissipation to the environment is minimal.

A first experimental realization using elastic waves in a cavity and a disordered waveguide have been presented in Ref. [25], where the states were obtained by a numerical synthesis of experimentally measured system excitations. Here we present an *in situ* microwave realization (see Fig. 1) of such PSSs by means of an active input shaping, thus generating and detecting the PSSs directly. As microwaves are being widely used for information transfer, we anticipate that our proof-of-principle demonstration also has technological relevance. Additionally, the realization scheme presented here is solely based on the system’s complex transmission matrix *T* rather than on the whole scattering matrix *S* as originally proposed in Ref. [24] and used in Ref. [25]. Moreover, our experiment shows that the intrinsic losses as well as the experimental noise still allow us to generate PSSs.

![FIG. 1. Sketch of the experimental setup. A two-dimensional microwave cavity is excited by 16 monopole antennas (left upper corner) placed in the incoming lead (red-colored area). The antennas are connected to IQ modulators controlling amplitude and phase of the microwave signal, whereas the IQ modulators themselves are fed by a vector network analyzer. The chaotic scattering region (light blue area) is connected to the incoming lead and an outgoing one (green area). Both leads are closed by absorbing foam material (LS-14, LS-16). The dotted line in the exit lead indicates the 27 positions where the movable monopole antenna is placed for the measurement of the complex transmission matrix *T*. The red square in the exit lead marks the area for the computation of *L*<sub>ob</sub> and *L*<sub>om</sub> (see text).](image)
Theory. We start by introducing the Wigner-Smith time-delay matrix (WSTDM) \( Q = -i S^{-1} dS/d\omega \) (involving a frequency derivative), which is an established tool for measuring the time delay associated with the scattering of a wave packet in a system \([26–28]\) featuring also interesting connections to the system’s density of states \([29–32]\). The WSTDM is Hermitian for unitary scattering systems (for which \( S^\dagger S = 1 \)), thus resulting in real eigenvalues \( \tau_n \) \((n\) represents the \( n\)th eigenvalue), also called proper delay times. The corresponding eigenvectors \( \hat{\mathbf{u}}_n \) \( (\text{given as a coefficient vector in a certain basis}) \) are known as principal modes \([33]\) and have the remarkable feature of being insensitive (to first order) to small changes of their input frequency—in the sense that the spatial output profile \( \tilde{v}_n = S\hat{\mathbf{u}}_n \) does not change (up to a global factor). This property is especially useful for dispersion-free propagation through multimode fibers \([21,22,34,35]\) and is mathematically expressed as

\[
\tilde{v}_n(\omega_0 + \Delta \omega) \approx \exp (i \tau_n \Delta \omega)\tilde{v}_n(\omega_0),
\]

where \( \Delta \omega \) is the change in frequency and \( \omega_0 \) is the frequency at which \( \tilde{v}_n \) is evaluated. The global phase factor \( \exp (i \tau_n \Delta \omega) \) is determined by the corresponding eigenvalue \( \tau_n \). In Ref. \([24]\), it was demonstrated that a certain subclass of principal modes have a particelike wave function resembling a focused beam. These PSSs live in the subspace of fully transmitted or fully reflected states, just like a particle that can either traverse the scattering region or be reflected back at some boundary or obstacle. In this work, we investigate PSSs that get fully transmitted through a microwave cavity as shown in Fig. 1.

As in most experiments, we also do not have access to the full scattering matrix \( S \). We thus use a modified WSTDM where we replace the scattering matrix \( S \) by the complex transmission matrix \( T \), which is accessible in our experimental setup. In the following, we show that only the knowledge of \( T \) is sufficient to find PSSs connecting the input to the output. The eigenvalue equation for the \( n\)th eigenvector \( \tilde{v}_n \) of this new operator \( q \) reads as follows:

\[
q_n = -i T^{-1}(\omega) \frac{d T(\omega)}{d \omega} \tilde{v}_n = \lambda_n \tilde{v}_n,
\]

where \( \lambda_n \) is the eigenvalue. An ordinary inverse of \( T \) appearing in Eq. (2) does not exist if \( T \) is nonquadratic or singular. In the Supplemental Material \([36]\), we introduce an effective inverse that still allows for the calculation of \( q \). Contrary to eigenstates of the Hermitian operator \( Q \), here only the transmitted output profile \( \tilde{v}_n = T \tilde{v}_n \) is insensitive (up to a global factor) with respect to a change of the input frequency \( \omega \), since the operator \( q \) involves only \( T \). This translates into

\[
\tilde{v}_n(\omega_0 + \Delta \omega) \approx \exp (i \lambda_n \Delta \omega) \tilde{v}_n(\omega_0).
\]

One can analytically derive (see Ref. \([33]\)) an expression for the complex eigenvalues

\[
\lambda_n = \frac{d \phi_n}{d \omega} - i \frac{d \ln|\tilde{v}_n|}{d \omega},
\]

where \( \phi_n \) is the transmitted global phase, i.e., \( \phi_n = |\tilde{v}_n| e^{i \phi_n} \). The real part \( \text{Re}(\lambda_n) \) reflects the frequency derivative of the scattering phase and is therefore proportional to the time delay \([26]\) of the eigenstate \( \mathbf{\tilde{v}}_n \). The imaginary part \( \text{Im}(\lambda_n) \) describes how the transmitted intensity \( |\tilde{v}_n|^2 \) changes with respect to a change of the frequency \( \omega \) as can be seen from Eq. (3).

Experimental results. To obtain the \( q \) operator experimentally, we measure \( T(\omega) \) within a frequency window around the working frequency. To reduce noise effects, we perform a Fourier filtering (see Supplemental Material \([36]\)) before extracting the Wigner-Smith time-delay operator. This is particularly helpful to stabilize the derivative of the measured complex \( T \) matrix. The positions where the microwave signal (16 antennas connected to the IQ modulators) is injected and transmission is measured with the moving antenna (27 positions) are marked in Fig. 1. (Taking into account 27 instead of 16 points allows us to stabilize the experimentally obtained
Since $T$ is thus a rectangular matrix of size $27 \times 16$, we cannot calculate its ordinary inverse to construct the $q$-operator. Using the technique described in the Supplemental Material [36], we work with the operator $\tilde{q}$ which only includes a subpart of $T$ associated to a certain number $\eta$ of highly transmitting channels. We tested empirically that the best results for PSSs, i.e., small $\text{Im}(\lambda_n)$, are obtained for the highest $\eta = 7$ transmitting channels for the calculation of $\tilde{q}$. Once these eigenstates of $\tilde{q}$ are evaluated, we inject them and verify their particlelike shape using the movable antenna that enters the cavity through the holes in the top plate. At first we investigate the eigenstate featuring the smallest value of $\text{Re}(\lambda_n)$, i.e., the shortest time delay. The result of this measurement is shown as particlelike scattering state 1 (PSS 1) in Fig. 2(a). The wave function shows the predicted behavior of following the shortest trajectory bundle connecting the incoming with the outgoing lead [see red bundle in Fig. 2(b), left].

Next, we investigate PSSs with larger time delays, which correspond to the green and the blue classical trajectory bundles shown in Fig. 2(b). It turns out that the center trajectories of these two bundles have almost the same length ($L_2 = 50.0 \text{ cm}$ and $L_3 = 49.1 \text{ cm}$). Since similar path lengths lead to similar time delays, the operator $q$ cannot fully discriminate between these two scattering states. While PSS 2 corresponds quite well to the green classical bundle, PSS 3 mixes both bundles, green and blue. In other words, the measured $q$ eigenstates corresponding to these bundles are in a near-degenerate superposition with path contributions of both lengths ($L_2$ and $L_3$) showing up in their wave functions. Demixing degenerate PSSs can be achieved by analyzing a state’s contributions in the angular mode basis, as numerically shown in the Supplemental Material [36].

As comparison, we show in Fig. 2(c) the intensity distribution of a state governed by exciting only a single randomly chosen antenna [further referred as RSS]. We see that also the RSS shows some intensity maxima within the cavity; however, these maxima do not follow classical trajectory bundles between incoming and outgoing leads. Moreover, the RSS extends into the middle part of the scattering region, which is avoided by all PSSs.

The RSS also shows a significantly lower spectral robustness of its transverse output profile which we define as

$$\text{Corr}(\nu) = \frac{|\tilde{\sigma}(\nu) \cdot \tilde{\sigma}(\nu_0)|}{|\tilde{\sigma}(\nu)||\tilde{\sigma}(\nu_0)|}$$

with $\tilde{\sigma}(\nu) = T(\nu)\tilde{i}$, (5)

where $\tilde{i}$ is the random input state. Equation (5) is the normalized correlation between the output vector $\tilde{\sigma}$ at frequency $\nu$ compared to its output at $\nu_0$ (the frequency at which the states are evaluated). PSS 1 is the state showing the highest output robustness when compared to the other PSSs (see Fig. 3). Since PSS 2 and PSS 3 perform a reflection at the convex cavity boundary, they are considerably more sensitive with respect to small changes in the frequency in terms of the output robustness when compared to PSS 1, which is transmitted entirely without any boundary reflections. This explains why the correlation curve in Fig. 3 of PSS 1 is flatter than the one of PSS 2 and 3. The correlation of the random state RSS is, as expected, the lowest.

As PSSs wave functions are highly collimated on bundles of classical particle trajectories of similar length, putting
placed inside the system and I(RSS) according to Eq. (5). All three PSSs are more stable with respect to a change of the incident frequency \( \nu \) as compared to the RSS. 
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**FIG. 3.** Autocorrelation function of the output profile of the three particlelike scattering states (PSS) and the random scattering state (RSS) according to Eq. (5). All three PSSs are more stable with respect to a change of the incident frequency \( \nu \).

If an obstacle in the way of such a trajectory, the observed transmission for a corresponding PSS drops down, whereas putting an obstacle outside of the occupied region of the PSS affects the wave function only slightly. To test this idea explicitly experimentally, we place altogether 13 cylindrical obstacles forming a rhombic shape into the scattering region explicitly experimentally, we place altogether 13 cylindrical obstacles forming a rhombic shape into the scattering region of the cavity [see Fig. 4(b)]. The obstacles have an equidistant spacing of about 3.3 wavelengths to make sure that their perturbations are uncorrelated. The relative change they induce in the transmitted intensity is evaluated as

\[
\Delta I_{rel} = \frac{I_{ob} - I_{em}}{I_{em}},
\]

where \( I_{ob} \) is the transmitted intensity when the obstacle is placed inside the system and \( I_{em} \) is the transmitted intensity for the empty cavity with no obstacle present. The intensities \( I_{ob} \) and \( I_{em} \) are obtained by computing the sum of the measured transmitted intensities at 135 positions covering the whole width of the outgoing lead indicated by a red square in Fig. 1. As expected, we observe that the PSSs are affected by a strong drop of 30% or more of the transmitted intensity when the scatterer is placed within the bundle supporting the PSS [see Fig. 4(a)]. This observation is interesting from a practical point of view if one aims to transmit intensity from input to output lead in complex environments [39,40]. We expect that our protocol unfolds its full potential in those domains where many modes can be controlled (as, e.g., in optics).

**Conclusions.** We perform an in situ realization of particlelike scattering states by means of incident wave-front shaping. Particlelike scattering states follow bundles of classical trajectories of similar length and can be identified with the help of the Wigner-Smith time-delay formalism based on a prior measurement of the frequency-dependent transmission matrix \( T(\nu) \). We extract three different particlelike scattering states corresponding to three different classical trajectory bundles connecting the input with the output lead attached to a chaotic microwave cavity. Switching between those path bundles can augment the transmission in case one of them is blocked by an obstacle. Our results can also be mapped onto other wave-based systems (acoustic, electromagnetic, quantum, etc.) leading to many possible applications related to efficient, robust, and focused transmission through complex environments [39,40]. We expect that our protocol unfolds its full potential in those domains where many modes can be controlled (as, e.g., in optics).
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[36] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevA.97.021801 for details on: (1) the experimental data treatment via Fourier filtering to stabilize the phase derivative of the scattering matrix; (2) the extraction of the q operator for non-quadaratic or singular transmission matrices Γ; and (3) a strategy for demixing particlelike scattering states belonging to path bundles of the same or very similar lengths.


